JRPP No	2012SYW083
DA Number	MODO138/12
Local Government Area	Ku-ring-gai Council
Proposed Development	Modification to DA0619/11 proposing minor alterations and additions including removal of tree no. 70
Street Address	212-216 Mona Vale Road, St Ives
Applicant/Owner	Village Building Company / Canberra Estates Consortium No. 24 Pty Ltd
Number of Submissions	None
Recommendation	Approval
Report by	Jonathan Goodwill, Executive Assessment Officer

SUMMARY SHEET

REPORT TITLE: LOT & DP: PROPOSAL:	212-216 Mona Vale Road, St Ives Lot 100 DP 1176717 Modification to DA0619/11 proposing minor alterations and additions including removal of tree no. 70
DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION:	MOD0138/12
WARD:	St lves
APPLICANT:	Village Building Company
OWNER:	Canberra Estates Consortium No. 24 Pty Ltd
DATE LODGED:	7 August 2012
CAPITAL INVESTMENT VALUE OF APPROVED DEVELOPMENT:	\$30,542,292
ISSUES:	None
PRE-DA MEETING:	No
SUBMISSIONS:	No
LAND & ENVIRONMENT COURT:	N/A
RECOMMENDATION:	Approval

Legislative requirements

Zoning	Residential 2(d3) under Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance
Permissible Under	Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance
Relevant legislation	SEPP 65 – Design quality of residential flat development SEPP (BASIX) 2004 Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance Draft Ku-ring-gai LEP (Local Centres) 2012 DCP 55 – Multi-Unit Housing DCP 56 – Notification Residential Flat Design Code

Integrated Development No

PURPOSE FOR REPORT

To determine Section 96 Modification Application No. 0138/12 which proposes modifications to a development consent for demolition of existing dwellings and construction of three residential flat buildings comprising 124 units, landscaping and associated works at Nos 212 to 216 Mona Vale Road, St lves.

The application is required to be reported to the Joint Regional Planning Panel in accordance with the requirements of Clause 21 of SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011 as the capital investment value (CIV) of the approved development exceeds \$20 million.

HISTORY

Original development application

26 April 2012	DA0619/11, for the demolition of five dwellings and construction of three residential flat buildings comprising 124 units, landscaping and associated works at Nos. 212 to 216 Mona Vale Road and 5A & 13 Memorial Avenue, St Ives was approved by the Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel.
16 July 2012	A plan of consolidation for five allotments which formed the development site was registered with Land and Property Information. The legal description of the new allotment is Lot 100 DP 1176717 and the street address is No. 212-216 Mona Vale Road, St Ives.

Current section 96 application

7 August 2012	Applicati	on lodged
10 August 2012	Applicati	on notified
5 September 2012	Council advised the applicant of outstanding issues relating to:	
	• si • in	ASIX te coverage consistent plans appropriate planter box location
19 September 2012	Amende	d plans submitted which included:
	 See Co Co pl flot 	mended BASIX Certificate for Block A elected planter boxes deleted olumns reduced in size to achieve onsistency with site coverage calculation ans oor plans and 3D views made consistent ith elevations
THE SITE		
Zoning: Visual Character Study Ca Lot Number: Area: Side of Street: Cross Fall: Stormwater Drainage: Heritage Affected: Integrated Development: Bush Fire Prone Land: Endangered Species: Urban Bushland: Contaminated Land: Draft biodiversity zone: Draft riparian zone: Draft heritage conservation		Residential 2(d3) 1945-1968 Lot 100 DP 1176717 7,462m ² Northern East to west By gravity to Mona Vale Road No No No No No No No No No No No

THE SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA

Draft Local Centres LEP

The site is located on the northern side of Mona Vale Road, opposite the intersection of Mona Vale Road and Stanley Street, St Ives. The St Ives Shopping Village is located to the south-west and Stanley Street shops to the south.

Yes - R4 High Density Residential

The site is vacant as the five previous dwellings, known as 212, 214, and 216 Mona Vale Road, and 5A & 13 Memorial Avenue, St Ives, have been demolished. The irregularly shaped allotment has a total area of 7,462m². The site has a combined frontage of 57.6 metres to Mona Vale Road. The site is secured by a 1.8m high steel mesh fence. A single storey building used for sales/marketing purposes relating to the approved development is located in the centre of the southern-boundary of the allotment facing Mona Vale Road.

The site is mainly flat, with only a slight fall to Mona Vale Road. The site adjoins a Council car park to the south-west (No. 208-210 Mona Vale Road), five storey residential flat buildings to the north and east (No. 220-222 Mona Vale Road and No. 17-19 Memorial Avenue/No. 102-118 Killeaton Street). The properties to the east of the site contain single dwellings. All adjoining properties are zoned Residential 2(d3).

THE PROPOSAL

The application is for modifications to the development consent under section 96(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act. Details of the modifications include:

Basement modifications

The tandem parking space previously allocated to Apartment A301 has been reallocated to Apartment A404. A single car space has been allocated to Apartment A301.

Ground floor modifications

- The location of the shower within the en-suite of Apartment AG01 has been swapped with the toilet.
- The location of the main bedroom window in Apartment AG02 has been moved to align with the windows on upper floors. The dimensions of the 'notch' on the northern wall have been altered.
- The entry door for Apartment AG04 has been relocated from the northeast end of the living room to the kitchen.
- The door between the second bedroom and the patio in Apartment AG07 has been reduced in length.
- The corner window in the bedroom of Apartment AG10 has been removed and a north facing window situated away from the footpath has been added.
- The location of the laundry in Apartment BG01 has been swapped with the internal storage unit and the sliding door between the living room and the patio has been replaced by a swing door and glass sidelight.

- In Apartment BG03 a storage cupboard has been provided adjacent to the entry door.
- The depth of the lobby in Block C has been reduced from 2810mm to 1810mm.
- In Apartment CG06 a sidelight has been added next to the door between the living room and the patio.
- Selected balcony columns in all Blocks have been reduced in size.

Typical floor (Floors 1-3) modifications:

- In Apartment type A01 the location of the shower and toilet in the ensuite bathroom has been swapped.
- In Apartment type A02 the window of the main bedroom has been moved in a north-easterly direction to account for the decrease in the size of the 'notch' along the north-eastern elevation and to align with the other similarly affected windows. A minor increase to the size of the bedroom is proposed.
- In Apartment type A07 the sliding glass door between the balcony and the second bedroom has been reduced in length.
- In Apartment type A03 the width of the second bedroom has been increased 200mm by moving the wall between the bedroom and kitchen.
- In Apartment type B01 the location of the laundry has been swapped with the internal storage unit, and the sliding door to the balcony has been replaced with a door and glass sidelight.
- In Apartment type B03 additional storage has been added adjacent to the entry door.
- The depth of the lobby in Block C has been reduced from 2810mm to 1810mm.
- In Apartment type C06 a sidelight has been added next to the door between the living room and the balcony.
- Selected balcony columns in all Blocks have been reduced in size.

Top floor (Level 4) modifications:

• The awning projection over the non trafficable roof on the northern side of Apartment B401 has been reduced from 3600mm to 2000mm.

- The depth of the lobby in Block C has been reduced from 2810mm to 1810mm.
- Service risers have been added over the fire stairs located at the northern and southern ends of the building.
- The floor area of Apartment A401 has been increased from 70m² to 75m² and an ensuite bathroom has been added to the main bedroom.
- The floor area of Apartment A402 has been increased from 70m² to 76m² and an ensuite bathroom has been added to the main bedroom.
- The floor area of Apartment A404 has been increased from 75m² to 93m². An ensuite bathroom has been added to the main bedroom and a study has been added to the south-eastern side of the unit. The roof on the southern side of the unit has been extended and planter boxes covered by the roof have been replaced with non trafficable roof area.

Tree removal

The application seeks approval for the removal of a Jacaranda tree which is located to the north-west of Block C.

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

In accordance with the requirements DCP 56 - Notification, owners of surrounding properties were given notice of the application. No submissions were received.

AMENDED PLANS

The amended plans submitted on 9 September 2012 were not notified as they did not increase the environmental impact of the development.

INTERNAL REFERRALS

Landscaping

Council's Landscape Assessment Officer commented on the amended proposal as follows:

1) Minor architectural changes

No issue

2) Amendment to the top floor of Block A

The modifications to Unit A404 result in changes to the planter along the southern edge of the fourth floor balcony to non trafficable surface (Dwg AR-1204/B08, 13/09/12, DEM). An amended Basix certificate (Certificate no. 367598M_06) has been provided to address the proposed reduction in the area of low water use planting. The Basix certificate should be amended in Condition 1 of the consent.

3) Removal of Tree no. 70

The proposal seeks to remove Tree 70 Jacaranda mimosifolia (Jacaranda), located along the south-west boundary of the communal open space to the north of Building C. An arborist report prepared by Bluegum Tree Care and Consultancy, dated 1/08/12 has been submitted. The tree has a large wound on the lower trunk and 40% deadwood. Removal is supported subject to proposed replacement planting with similar deciduous canopy tree species.

Conclusion

The proposed modification is supported.

The conditions recommended by Council's Landscape and Tree Assessment Officer are included in the recommendation.

Engineering

Council's Team Leader Engineering Assessment commented on the amended proposal as follows:

There are no engineering objections to the proposed modification, and no addition or amended conditions required.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended)

The *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (as amended) empowers the consent authority to modify a consent under certain conditions which are addressed below.

Part A: Substantially the same development

The development as modified is substantially the same development as that approved. The essence and character of the development as modified is the same as the development for which consent was originally granted for the following reasons:

- the classification of the development as a Residential Flat Building has not changed
- the number of apartments has not changed

- the height of the building has not changed
- there have been only minor changes to the elevations of Block A and these primarily relate to the top storey
- the modifications to the floor plans of the apartments are minor

Part B: Notification & Submissions

The proposed section 96 modifications have been notified in accordance with the provisions of the regulations. No submissions were received in response to the notification of the application.

Part C: Consultation with the Minister

Consultation with the Minister, public authority or approval body in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent is not required in the circumstances of this case.

Part D: Threatened species

The proposed modification does not relate to a development to which a biobanking statement has been issued under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995.

State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

An amended BASIX certificate was submitted with the amended plans. The amended plans are consistent with the commitments identified in the BASIX certificate.

State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 - Design quality of residential flat development

In accordance with Clause 115(3) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000*, a design verification statement was submitted with the application. The statement was prepared by Rudi Valla (Registered Architect No. 6582) and addresses the following requirements of the *Regulation*:

(a) he or she designed, or directed the design, of the modification of the residential flat development, and

(b) the residential flat development, as modified, achieves the design quality principles set out in Part 2 of State Environmental Planning Policy No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development, and
(c) the modifications do not diminish or detract from the design quality, or compromise the design intent, of the development for which the development consent was granted.

The primary objective of SEPP 65 is to improve the design quality of residential flat development in NSW. The modifications to the development

seek to improve internal amenity by adjusting the floor layouts and increasing the floor area and features of three apartments located on the top floor level of Block A. The modifications do not detract from the architectural integrity of the elevations. The proposal is consistent with the design quality principles of SEPP 65.

Residential Flat Design Code Compliance Table

The modifications to the development do not result in any non compliances with the RFDC beyond those identified and supported in the assessment of the original development application.

Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance (KPSO)

Zoning and permissibility:

The site is zoned Residential 2(d3).

Under clause 25B (definitions) of the KPSO a residential flat building is defined as '*a building containing three or more dwellings*.' The modifications to the approved development will not alter the classification of the development under the KPSO. The development as amended, is permissible development.

Residential zone objectives:

The development is consistent with the aims and objectives prescribed under clauses 25C(2) and 25D(2) of the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance and the heads of consideration detailed in Clause 25I(1) of the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance

Development standards:

Development standard	Proposed	Complies
Clause 25I(2) - Deep soil landscaping	50.1% (3543.3m ²)	YES
(min): 50%		
Clause 25I(6) - Site coverage (max):	35%	YES
35%		
Clause 25I(7) - Top floor area (max):	Block A = 59.74%	YES
60% of level below	Block B = 59.93%	
	Block C = 59.98%	

Note: Only the development indices that have changed as a result of the modifications have been identified in the above table.

Draft Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan (Local Centres) 2012

This plan was adopted by Council on 31 July 2012 and forwarded to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure for gazettal on 16 August 2012. The subject site is proposed to be zoned R4 High Density Residential under

the draft LEP. The development standards for minimum allotment size, height, and floor space ratio are essentially the same as those same development standards and design controls currently contained in the KPSO and DCP 55. The modifications to the development will not result in any non compliances with the development standards in the draft LEP.

The following objectives apply to development in the R4 High Density Residential zone:

- To provide for the housing needs of the community within a high density residential environment.
- To provide a variety of housing types within a high density residential environment.
- To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.
- To provide for high density residential housing close to public transport, services and employment opportunities.

The modifications to the development are consistent with the zone objectives.

POLICY PROVISIONS

Development Control Plan No. 55 – Railway/Pacific Highway Corridor & St Ives Centre

COMPLIANCE TABLE		
Design control	Proposed	Complies
Part 4.2 Density:		
Floor space ratio (max):		
 1.3:1 (9194.9m²) 	1.298:1 (9183m ²)	YES
Part 4.3 Setbacks		
The top storey must be setback from the outer faces of the floors below on all sides.	The amended top floor design for Block A is setback from the outer faces of the floors below on all sides.	YES
The top storey must not result in any overshadowing of adjoining properties.	The increased floor area of Apartment A04 will result in additional overshadowing of the carpark located on the adjoining allotment to the south-west of the site. The overshadowing of the carpark will have no detrimental impact on the amenity of the car park.	NO – variation supported
Part 4.5 Residential Ameni	ty:	•
All single common corridors are to have a minimum width of 1.8m at lift lobbies	1.8m	YES

Part 4.7 Social dimensions:		
At least 70% of dwellings are to be 'visitable' in accordance with the definition prescribed in appendix F	Min. 70%	YES
A range of unit sizes and types is to be provided	The modifications to apartment A404 will convert this apartment from a 2 bedroom apartment to a 2 bedroom plus study apartment. A range of unit sizes and types suitable for the likely future demographics of the area has been provided.	YES

Note: Only the design controls which relate to the proposed modifications have been identified in the above table.

Ku-ring-gai Contributions Plan 2010

The modifications do not alter the housing mix in terms of the different unit types identified in the Contributions Plan. No changes to the contributions condition are required.

LIKELY IMPACTS

The likely impacts of the modification have been considered against the requirements of SEPP 65, KPSO, and DCP 55. The modifications will not detract from the design quality of the development and are consistent with the requirements of the planning controls.

SUITABILITY OF THE SITE

The site is zoned Residential 2(d3) and multi-unit housing is permissible. The site is considered suitable for the proposed residential flat development.

ANY SUBMISSIONS

No submissions were received.

PUBLIC INTEREST

The modifications to the approved development have been assessed against the provisions of the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments and are deemed to be acceptable. On this basis, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the public interest.

CONCLUSION

This application has been assessed in accordance with s.96(2) of the

Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. The proposal achieves compliance with the requirements of the relevant instruments and policies and is recommended for approval.

RECOMMENDATION

PURSUANT TO SECTION 96(2) OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979

THAT the Sydney West Joint Regional Planning Panel, as the consent authority approve Section 96 application No. MOD0138/12 and modify the development consent to Development Application No. 0619/11 in the following manner:

Replace condition No. 1 with the following amended condition:

1. Approved architectural plans and documentation (new development)

The development must be carried out in accordance with the following plans and documentation listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent:

Plan no.	Drawn by	Dated
AR-CV01 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-0102 Revision A04	DEM	13/09/2012
AR-1200 Revision A03	DEM	30/07/2012
AR-1201 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-1202 Revision B07	DEM	13/09/2012
AR-1203 Revision B08	DEM	13/09/2012
AR-1204 Revision B08	DEM	13/09/2012
AR-1701 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-1703 Revision A01	DEM	11/04/2012
AR-1801 Revision A01	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-2101 Revision A03	DEM	30/07/2012
AR-2301 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-2500 Revision A04	DEM	13/09/2012
AR-2501 Revision A03	DEM	17/07/2012
AR-2502 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR-2701 Revision A01	DEM	18/11/2011
LA-CV00 Revision A05	DEM	6/03/2012
LA-2401 Revision A07	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0501 Revision A11	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0502 Revision A09	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0503 Revision A09	DEM	6/03/2012
LA-0504 Revision A06	DEM	13/09/2012
LA-0505 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012
AR9052 Revision A01	DEM	11/11/2011
AR9053 Revision A01	DEM	18/11/2011

AR9054 Revision A01	DEM	18/11/2011
Specialist Lighting Concept	Webb Australia	undated
(5 sheets)	Group	
SY100003/E1 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/E2 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/E3 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/E4 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/E5 Issue 7	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/E6 Issue 8	Acor Appleyard	03/2012
SY100003/E7 Issue 7	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/E8 Issue 7	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/C1 Issue 11	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/C2 Issue 11	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/C3 Issue 12	Acor Appleyard	03/2012
SY100003/C4 Issue 12	Acor Appleyard	03/2012
SY100003/C5 Issue 11	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/C6 Issue 4	Acor Appleyard	02/2012
SY100003/F1 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/F2 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D1 Issue 4	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D2 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D3 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D4 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D5 Issue 5	Acor Appleyard	11/2011
SY100003/D6 Issue 2	Acor Appleyard	11/2011

Document(s)	Dated
SEPP 65 Design Verification Statement prepared	undated
by Rudi Valla	
Development Impact Assessment Report	November 2011
prepared by Earthscape Horticultural Services	
Basix certificate No. 367598M_06	18/09/2012
Basix certificate No. 404354M_04	7/03/2012
Basix certificate No. 404379M_03	7/03/2012
Rosedale Unit Type, Area & Storage Schedule	6/03/2012
Letter from ERBAS RE: Confirmation of	1/11/2011
Equipment Installation Location	
Report on Geotechnical Investigation Project	9/10/2010
72026.00	
Access Report prepared by Mark Relf	17/11/2011
Waste Management Plan prepared by EMF	6/03/2012
Griffiths Sustainability Consultants. Issue 11.	
DA Acoustic Assessment prepared by SLR.	7/11/2011
Revision 2.	
Letter from Roads and Maritime Services	22/12/2011

Reason: To ensure that the development is in accordance with the determination.

Replace Condition No. 3 with the following amended condition:

3. Approved landscape plans

Landscape works shall be carried out in accordance with the following landscape plan(s), listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, except where amended by other conditions of this consent:

Plan no.	Drawn by	Dated
LA-CV00 Revision A05	DEM	6/03/2012
LA-2401 Revision A07	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0501 Revision A11	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0502 Revision A09	DEM	29/02/2012
LA-0503 Revision A09	DEM	6/03/2012
LA-0504 Revision A06	DEM	13/09/2012
LA-0505 Revision A02	DEM	6/03/2012

Reason: To ensure that the development is in accordance with the determination.

Replace Condition No. 21 with the following amended condition:

21. Amendments to approved landscape plan

Prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate, the Principal Certifying Authority shall be satisfied that the approved landscape plans, listed below and endorsed with Council's stamp, have been amended in accordance with the requirements of this condition as well as other conditions of this consent:

Plan no.	Drawn by	Date
Landscape Plan Planting Plan Ground	DEM	29/02/12
Floor Plan la-0502 Rev A09		

The following changes are required to the Landscape Plan:

- To preserve the biodiversity of the site, the proposed planting of Eucalyptus punctata (Grey Gum) shall be substituted with Eucalyptus resinifera (Red Mahogany) or Angophora costata (Sydney Red Gum). Proposed planting of Melaleuca armillaris shall be substituted with Acacia falcata, Melaleuca decora or Bursaria spinosa.
- 2. To preserve neighbour amenity, two additional canopy trees are to be located on the northern boundary of the communal open space located to the south-west of Block B.
- 3. The following tree is to shown to be removed and replaced with a 75L deciduous canopy tree species such as *Pyrus calleryana* 'Chanticleer' or similar:

Tree/location

Tree 70/Jacaranda mimosifolia (Jacaranda), located along the south-west boundary of the communal open space to the north of Building C

Prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate, the Principal Certifying Authority shall be satisfied that the landscape plan has been amended as required by this condition.

Note: An amended plan, prepared by a landscape architect or qualified landscape designer, shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority.

Reason: To ensure adequate landscaping of the site.

Replace Condition No. 76 with the following amended condition:

76. Compliance with BASIX Certificate

Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, the Principal Certifying Authority shall be satisfied that all commitments listed in BASIX Certificates numbered 367598M_06, 404354M_04, and 404379M_03 have been complied with.

Reason: Statutory requirement.

Jonathan Goodwill Executive Assessment Officer	Shaun Garland Team Leader Development Assessment
Corrie Swanepoel	Michael Miocic
Manager	Director

Development Assessment Services Development & Regulation

Attachments:

- 1. Locality Sketch Zoning Extract 2.
 - Basement Plans 3.
 - Floor Plans
 - 4.
 - 5. Elevations
 - Sections 6.
 - 7. Landscape Plan